

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/852,994 | 05/10/2001 | Berthold N. Schmidt | 721-1000 | 5821 |
| 75 | 590 10/15/2003 | | EXAM | INER |
| Mark D. Saralino, Esq. | | | LEUNG, QUYEN PHAN | |
| Renner, Otto, B | Boisselle & Sklar Zenue | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| 19th Floor Cleveland, OH 44115 | | | 2828 DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| () | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/852,994 | SCHMIDT ET AL. | AW |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Quyen P. Leung | 2828 | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondenc addi | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper reply n places the applicat | to a tion in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | • | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. | on. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final (| opriate extension Office action; or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sim | nplifying the |
| (d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cancell | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims | 3 . |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed a | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consideration | dered but does NOT | place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | ☐ will be entered a w or appended. | nd an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a) approved or b) disappi | roved by the Examir | ner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | | | |
| 0. Other: | | Quyen F. Leung | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2828 | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the proposed amendment applicant only incorporated some but not all the features of objected claim 9 to the independent claim 1. The proposed amended claim 1 does not overcome the applied prior art Yu 6,373,875 B1). As clearly shown in Yu's figure 2a, the means (214a, 214b, 214c) for limiting injection of carriers has a lateral extension greater than that of the ridge..